

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Executive Summary | ES-1 |
| Proposed Project..... | ES-2 |
| Alternatives to the Proposed Project..... | ES-5 |
| Areas of Controversy | ES-8 |
| Impacts Summary and Environmentally Superior Alternative | ES-12 |
| I Introduction | I-1 |
| I.1 Purpose and Intent..... | I-1 |
| I.2 Legal Authority..... | I-5 |
| I.3 Notice of Preparation and Scope..... | I-7 |
| I.4 Format..... | I-10 |
| I.5 EIR Process..... | I-11 |
| I.6 Relationship to BART to Livermore..... | I-13 |
| 2 Project Description | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Project Location..... | 2-1 |
| 2.2 Project Goals | 2-5 |
| 2.3 Proposed Plan Components | 2-7 |
| 2.4 Buildout under the Proposed Project..... | 2-16 |
| 2.5 Plan Implementation..... | 2-18 |
| 3 Introduction to Environmental Analysis | 3-1 |
| Overview..... | 3-1 |
| Impacts Considered..... | 3-1 |
| Organization..... | 3-2 |
| Determining Level of Significance..... | 3-3 |
| 3.1 Land Use, Population, and Housing | 3.1-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.1-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 3.1-15 |

| | |
|---|--------------|
| 3.2 Traffic and Transportation | 3.2-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.2-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 3.2-23 |
| 3.3 Air Quality | 3.3-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.3-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 3.3-14 |
| 3.4 Energy, Greenhouse Gases, and Climate Change | 3.4-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.4-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 3.4-14 |
| 3.5 Aesthetics | 3.5-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.5-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 3.5-8 |
| 3.6 Noise and Vibration | 3.6-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 3.6-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 17 |
| 3.7 Biological Resources | 7-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 7-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 7-25 |
| 3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials | 1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 16 |
| 3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality | 9-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 9-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 9-17 |
| 3.10 Utilities and Service Systems | 10-1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 10-1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 10-10 |
| 3.11 Public Services and Recreation | 1 |
| Environmental Setting..... | 1 |
| Impact Analysis..... | 6 |
| 3.12 Geology and Soils | 12-1 |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Environmental Setting | 12-1 |
| Impact Analysis | 12-14 |
| 3.13 Cultural and Tribal Resources | 13-1 |
| Environmental Setting | 13-1 |
| Impact Analysis | 13-13 |
| 3.14 Agricultural Resources | 14-1 |
| Environmental Setting | 14-1 |
| Impact Analysis | 14-6 |
| 3.15 Impacts Not Potentially Significant..... | 15-1 |
| Forestry Resources..... | 15-1 |
| Mineral Resources..... | 15-1 |
| 4 Analysis of Alternatives | 4-1 |
| 4.1 Background on Development of Alternatives | 4-1 |
| 4.2 Description of Alternatives | 4-2 |
| 4.3 Comparative Impact Analysis of Alternatives | 4-6 |
| 4.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative..... | 4-56 |
| 5 CEQA Required Conclusions | 5-1 |
| 5.1 Growth Inducing Impacts..... | 5-1 |
| 5.2 Cumulative Impacts..... | 5-4 |
| 5.3 Significant Unavoidable Impacts..... | 5-15 |
| 5.4 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes..... | 5-18 |
| 5.5 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant..... | 5-19 |
| 6 References..... | 6-1 |
| 7 List of Preparers..... | 7-1 |
| 8 Agencies Consulted..... | 8-1 |
| Appendix A: NOP and Responses..... | 1 |
| Appendix B: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Data | 2 |
| Appendix C: Noise Data | 3 |
| Appendix D: Special-Status Plant Species Known or with Potential to Occur in the Study Area | 4 |

Appendix E: Special-Status Wildlife Known or with Potential to Occur in the Study Area..... 5

Appendix F: Cultural Resources Studies within or Adjacent to the Planning Area... 6

Appendix G: Cumulative Projects and Plans..... 7

List of Figures

| | |
|--|------|
| Figure 2-1: Regional Context..... | 2-3 |
| Figure 2-2: Planning Area..... | 2-4 |
| Figure 2-3: Land Use Diagram..... | 2-9 |
| Figure 2-4: Land Use Diagram Change Areas..... | 2-10 |
| Figure 3.1-2: Existing General Plan..... | 1-9 |
| Figure 3.1-3: Current Zoning..... | 1-10 |
| Figure 3.1-4: Local Airport Policies..... | 1-14 |
| Figure 3.1-5: Proposed Amendments to the Airport Protection Area..... | 1-19 |
| Figure 3.1-6: Proposed Isabel Neighborhood Phasing Plan..... | 1-28 |
| Figure 3.2-1 Study Area and Study Intersections..... | 2 |
| Figure 3.2-2 Freeway Study Segments..... | 4 |
| Figure 3.2-4: Bicycle Circulation..... | 16 |
| Figure 3.2-5: Pedestrian Circulation..... | 18 |
| Figure 3.2-6: 2025 Near Term No Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours..... | 33 |
| Figure 3.2-7: 2040 Cumulative No Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours..... | 36 |
| Figure 3.2-8: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours..... | 39 |
| Figure 3.2-9: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours..... | 43 |
| Figure 3.5-1: Existing Views from I-580..... | 2 |
| Figure 3.5-1: Existing Views from I-580 (Continued)..... | 3 |
| Figure 3.5-2: Scenic Corridor Policy Implementation..... | 7 |
| Figure 3.5-3: Isabel Neighborhood Scenic Corridor Policy Amendment..... | 11 |
| Figure 3.5-4: Maximum Building Heights in Scenic Corridor Amendment Areas..... | 12 |
| Figure 3.5-5: Key Scenic Vistas – Existing and Proposed Buildout..... | 13 |
| Figure 3.6-1: Noise Measurement Locations..... | 5 |
| Figure 3.6-2: Existing Airport Noise Contours..... | 8 |
| Figure 3.7-1: Land Cover and Habitats..... | 7-16 |
| Figure 3.7-2: CNDDDB Plant Occurrences within 5 Miles of Planning Area..... | 7-17 |
| Figure 3.7-3: CNDDDB Wildlife Occurrences within 5 Miles of Planning Area..... | 7-18 |
| Figure 3.8-1 Hazardous Materials Sites in the Planning Area..... | 4 |
| Figure 3.8-2 City of Livermore Wildfire Severity Zones..... | 5 |
| Figure 3.8-3 Livermore Municipal Airport Safety Compatibility Zones..... | 21 |
| Figure 3.9-1: Waterways & Floodzones..... | 9-7 |
| Figure 3.11-1: Part I* Crime Rate per 100,000 people (2014)..... | 15 |
| Figure 3.12-1: Soils and Geologic Hazards..... | 12-3 |
| Figure 3.12-2: Liquefaction Hazard..... | 12-5 |

| | |
|--|------|
| Figure 3.12-3: Steep Slopes and Faults..... | 12-7 |
| Figure 3.12-4: Regional Faults..... | 12-8 |
| Figure 3.14-1: Farmland Classification | 14-3 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|------|
| Table ES-1: Estimated 2040 Net New Development..... | 5 |
| Table ES-2: Comparison of Alternatives at Buildout | 7 |
| Table 2-1: Residential Land Use Designations..... | 2-11 |
| Table 2-2: Non-Residential Land Use Designations..... | 2-12 |
| Table 2-3. Estimated 2040 Net New Development..... | 2-17 |
| Table 3.1-1: Existing Land Uses in the Planning Area | 1-4 |
| Table 3.1-2: Existing Development Standards | 1-11 |
| Table 3.1-3: Phasing Program Summary ¹ | 1-27 |
| Table 3.2-1: Intersection Level of Service, Existing Conditions | 5 |
| Table 3.2-2: Surrounding Transit Services, Existing..... | 11 |
| Table 3.2-3: Weekday Ridership, Existing..... | 14 |
| Table 3.2-4: Level of Service Criteria – Freeway Segments | 26 |
| Table 3.2-5: Level of Service Criteria – Signalized Intersections | 26 |
| Table 3.2-6: Level of Service Criteria – Unsignalized Intersections..... | 27 |
| Table 3.2-7: Daily Vehicle Trip Generation..... | 30 |
| Table 3.2-8: Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled..... | 31 |
| Table 3.2-9: 2025 Near Term No Project Intersection Level of Service..... | 34 |
| Table 3.2-10: 2040 Cumulative No Project Intersection Level of Service..... | 37 |
| Table 3.2-11: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Intersection Level of Service AM Peak Hour..... | 40 |
| Table 3.2-12: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Intersection Level of Service PM Peak Hour..... | 41 |
| Table 3.2-13: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Intersection Level of Service AM Peak Hour..... | 44 |
| Table 3.2-14: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Intersection Level of Service PM Peak Hour..... | 45 |
| Table 3.2-15: I-580 Lane Configuration in 2014 and 2025/2040, No Project Conditions..... | 47 |
| Table 3.2-16: I-580 Performance in AM, 2025 Near Term No Project Conditions | 48 |
| Table 3.2-17: I-580 Performance in PM, 2025 Near Term No Project Conditions..... | 49 |
| Table 3.2-18: I-580 Performance in AM, 2040 No Project Conditions..... | 50 |
| Table 3.2-19: I-580 Performance in PM, 2040 No Project Conditions | 51 |
| Table 3.2-20: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, AM Peak Hour..... | 52 |
| Table 3.2-21: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, PM Peak Hour..... | 53 |
| Table 3.2-22: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, AM Peak Hour..... | 54 |
| Table 3.2-23: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, PM Peak Hour..... | 55 |
| Table 3.2-24: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, AM Peak Hour | 56 |
| Table 3.2-25: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, PM Peak | |

| | |
|--|----|
| Hour..... | 57 |
| Table 3.2-26: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, AM Peak Hour..... | 58 |
| Table 3.2-27: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, PM Peak Hour..... | 59 |
| Table 3.2-28: 2025 Near Term Arterial CMP Segments..... | 60 |
| Table 3.2-29: 2040 Cumulative Arterial CMP Segments..... | 62 |
| Table 3.3-1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data from Livermore 793 Rincon Avenue Monitoring Station..... | 5 |
| Table 3.3-2: Health Risk Inventory for Stationary Sources in and within 1,000 Feet of the Planning Area | 8 |
| Table 3.3-3: Federal and State Attainment Status for Alameda County | 9 |
| Table 3.3-4: Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards..... | 11 |
| Table 3.3-5: Project-Level Emission Thresholds | 15 |
| Table 3.3-6: BAAQMD 2017 Clean Air Plan Control Measures Applicable to Proposed Plan..... | 23 |
| Table 3.3-7: Estimated Maximum Daily Unmitigated Operational Emissions for the Proposed Plan | 37 |
| Table 3.3-8: Health Risks from Major Roadways (ADT >10,000) Located In and Within 1,000 Feet of the Planning Area In Excess of BAAQMD Project-Level Thresholds | 41 |
| Table 3.3-9. Proposed Plan Carbon Monoxide Concentration Results (parts per million)..... | 45 |
| Table 3.4-1: Alameda County Total and Per Capita Energy Consumption (2016) ^a | 3 |
| Table 3.4-2: Atmospheric Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Key Greenhouse Gases | 5 |
| Table 3.4-3: Global, National, and State GHG Emissions Inventories..... | 6 |
| Table 3.4-4: City of Livermore Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories and Forecast..... | 6 |
| Table 3.4-5: City of Livermore CAP Reduction Measures..... | 13 |
| Table 3.4-6: Operational GHG Thresholds/Substantial Progress Efficiency Metrics for BAAQMD..... | 19 |
| Table 3.4-7: Estimated Operational Energy Consumption for the Proposed Plan | 24 |
| Table 3.4-8: Estimated Energy Consumption Efficiency for Existing and Proposed Plan Conditions..... | 24 |
| Table 3.4-9: Estimated Unmitigated Proposed Plan Operational GHG Emissions ^a | 32 |
| Table 3.4-10: Estimated Mitigated Proposed Plan Operational GHG Emissions ^a | 33 |
| Table 3.4-11: Consistency of Proposed plan with CAP Measures | 38 |
| Table 3.4-12: Consistency of Proposed plan with 2017 Scoping Plan Policies ^a | 43 |
| Table 3.5-1: Ground Contour Changes Allowed within Scenic Corridor Zones..... | 5 |
| Table 3.5-2: Exceptions to View Angles and Allowed Ground Contour Changes..... | 6 |
| Table 3.6-1: Definition of Sound Measurements..... | 3 |
| Table 3.6-2: Typical A-weighted Sound Levels..... | 4 |
| Table 3.6-3: Relevant Noise Measurement Data from BART to Livermore Extension Draft EIR..... | 6 |
| Table 3.6-4: Typical Levels of Groundborne Vibration | 9 |
| Table 3.6-5: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment | 11 |
| Table 3.6-6: Vibration Damage Potential Threshold Criteria Guidelines | 11 |
| Table 3.6-7: Vibration Annoyance Potential Criteria Guidelines..... | 12 |
| Table 3.6-8: Groundborne Vibration Impact Criteria..... | 13 |
| Table 3.6-9: City of Livermore General Plan Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Exterior Noise..... | 15 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| Table 3.6-10: Noise Levels of Key Construction Phases by Construction Type..... | 20 |
| Table 3.6-11: Roadway Segments with Project-related Traffic Noise Level Increases of 3 dB or More ... | 24 |
| Table 3.6-12: Roadway Segments with Project-related Traffic Noise Level Increases of 3 dB or More ... | 27 |
| Table 3.6-13: Operational Noise Impact Criteria for Noise-Sensitive Land Uses..... | 32 |
| Table 3.6-14: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment..... | 35 |
| Table 3.6-15: Screening Distances for Operational Vibration Assessment..... | 37 |
| Table 3.6-16: Noise Compatibility Criteria for the Livermore Municipal Airport..... | 42 |
| Table 3.6-17: Airport Noise Compatibility for proposed INP Land Uses..... | 44 |
| Table 3.7-1: Vegetation Communities in the Planning Area ^{1,2} | 7-1 |
| Table 3.7-2: Special-Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur within the Planning Area..... | 7-7 |
| Table 3.7-3: Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur within the Planning Area | 7-13 |
| Table 3.9-1: Beneficial Uses of Surface Waters within the Project Vicinity..... | 9-3 |
| Table 3.9-2: 303(d) Listed Water Body in the Project Area: Arroyo Las Positas..... | 9-4 |
| Table 3.9-3: 303(d) Water Quality Objectives for Groundwater in the Project Area | 9-5 |
| Table 3.10-1: Landfill Summary..... | 10-4 |
| Table 3.10-2: Livermore Disposal Tonnage Trend..... | 10-14 |
| Table 3.10-3: Livermore Per Capita Disposal Rates Compared to Target Rates..... | 10-14 |
| Table 3.11-1: School Capacities and Enrollment..... | 2 |
| Table 3.11-2: Open Space Standards | 4 |
| Table 3.11-3: Parks, Plaza, and Open Space Area..... | 8 |
| Table 3.14-1: Farmland Acreages in the Planning Area by Classification | 14-2 |
| Table 4.2-1: Comparison of Alternatives at Buildout..... | 4-3 |
| Table 4.2-2: Car-Light Alternative Vehicular and Parking Ratios..... | 4-5 |
| Table 4.3-1: 2040 Cumulative Comparative VMT for the Alternatives..... | 4-10 |
| Table 4.3-2: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Level of Service Results for the Alternatives – AM Peak Hour | 4-11 |
| Table 4.3-3: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Level of Service Results for the Alternatives – PM Peak Hour | 4-13 |
| Table 4.3-4: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Freeway Results for the Alternatives – Westbound- AM Peak Hour | 4-16 |
| Table 4.3-5: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Freeway Results for the Alternatives – Eastbound -PM Peak Hour | 4-17 |
| Table 4.3-6: 2040 Cumulative Comparative CMP Arterial Results for the Alternatives – AM and PM Peak Hour | 4-18 |
| Table 4.4-1: Summary of Impacts for Alternatives..... | 4-57 |
| Table 5.1-1: Jobs to Employed Residents, in Planning Area, Existing and Projected..... | 5-3 |
| Table 5.2-1: Growth Projections Related to the Cumulative Context (2040)..... | 5-5 |