
Appendix G

**Cultural Resources Study for the
SMP 40 Project
Livermore, Alameda County, California**

Taylor Alshuth, BA,
and
Eileen Barrow, MA/RPA

December 14, 2021
Revised January 27, 2023



**Cultural Resources Study for the
SMP 40 Project
Livermore, Alameda County, California**

Prepared by:

Taylor Alshuth, BA,
and
Eileen Barrow, MA/RPA

Tom Origer & Associates
Post Office Box 1531
Rohnert Park, California 94927
(707) 584-8200

Prepared for:

Overton Moore Properties
19700 S. Vermont Avenue #101
Torrance, California 90502

December 14, 2021
Revised January 27, 2023

ABSTRACT

Tom Origer & Associates conducted a cultural resources study for the SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County, California. The study was requested and authorized by Michael Johnson of Overton Moore Properties. This study was conducted to meet the requirements of the City of Livermore and those of the California Environmental Quality Act. The purpose of this report is to identify potential historical resources other than Tribal Cultural Resources, as defined in Public Resources Code [PRC] 21074 (a)(1)(A)-(B) and discussed in the Regulatory Context section. Tribal Cultural Resources are defined in Public Resources Code [PRC] 21074 (a)(1)(A)-(B).

The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking as well as three proposed trail connections to the Arroyo Bike Trail along and across Isabel Avenue.

This study included archival research at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, examination of the library and files of Tom Origer & Associates, Native American contact, and field inspection of the study area. No cultural resources were found within the study area.

This report contains information about the locations of archaeological sites. For the protection of these resources, this report, and such location information, should not be publicly circulated.

Synopsis

Project: SMP 40
Location: East Stanley Boulevard, Livermore, Alameda County
Quadrangles: Livermore 7.5' series
Study Type: Intensive
Scope: ~40 acres
Field Hours: 6 person-hours
NWIC #: 21-0820
TOA #: 2021-109S and 2023-006R
Finds: None

Key Personnel

Eileen Barrow

Ms. Barrow has been with Tom Origer & Associates since 2005. She holds a Master of Arts in cultural resources management from Sonoma State University. Ms. Barrow's experience includes work that has been completed in compliance with local ordinances, CEQA, NEPA, and Section 106 (NHPA) requirements. Her professional affiliations include the Society for American Archaeology, the Society for California Archaeology, the California Historical Society, the Sonoma County Historical Society, and the Western Obsidian Focus Group.

Taylor Alshuth

Mr. Alshuth obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in Anthropology from Humboldt State University in 2014, after obtaining an Associate of Arts degree in Anthropology at Santa Rosa Junior College in 2012. He has been affiliated with the Society for California Archaeology, the Archaeological Institute of America, and the Archaeological Conservancy. Mr. Alshuth has been a part of northern California archaeology since 2014.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
Synopsis.....	i
Key Personnel.....	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
REGULATORY CONTEXT.....	1
Resource Definitions	2
Significance Criteria.....	2
PROJECT SETTING.....	3
Study Area Location and Description	3
Cultural Setting.....	5
STUDY PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS.....	9
Native American Contact	9
Native American Contact Results.....	9
Archival Research Procedures.....	10
Archival Research Findings.....	10
Field Survey Procedures.....	11
Field Survey Findings.....	11
DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	12
Archaeological Recommendations	12
Built Environment Recommendations.....	12
Accidental Discovery	12
SUMMARY.....	12
MATERIALS CONSULTED.....	14
APPENDIX A: Native American Contact	

FIGURES

Figure 1. Project vicinity	1
Figure 2. Study area location.....	4
Figure 3. Overview photo of the study area, facing west.	5

TABLES

Table 1. San Francisco Bay Area Chronology	7
Table 2. Studies within the Study Area	11

INTRODUCTION

This report describes a cultural resources study for the SMP 40 Project in Livermore, Alameda County, California (Figure 1). The study was requested and authorized by Michael Johnson of Overton Moore Properties. This study was conducted to meet the requirements of the City of Livermore and those of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The proposed project includes the development of a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings, related infrastructure and parking, as well as three proposed trail connections to the Arroyo Bike Trail along and across Isabel Avenue. Documentation pertaining to this study is on file at Tom Origer & Associates (File No. 2021-109S and 2023-006R).

REGULATORY CONTEXT

The State of California requires that cultural resources be considered during the environmental review process. This process is outlined in CEQA and accomplished by an inventory of resources within a study area and by assessing the potential that historical resources could be affected by development. The term “Historical Resources” encompasses all forms of cultural resources including prehistoric and historical archaeological sites and built environment resources (e.g., buildings, bridges, canals), that would be eligible for inclusion on the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register). An additional category of resources is defined in CEQA under the term “Tribal Cultural Resources” (Public Resources Code Section 21074). They are not addressed in this report because Tribal Cultural Resources are resources that are of specific concern to California Native American tribes, and knowledge of such resources is limited to tribal people. Pursuant to CEQA, as revised in July 2015, such resources are to be identified by tribal people in direct, confidential consultation with the lead agency (PRC §21080.3.1).




Figure 1. Project vicinity (adapted from the 1980 San Francisco and 1980 San Jose 1:250,000-scale USGS maps).

This cultural resources study was designed to satisfy environmental issues specified in the CEQA and its guidelines (Title 14 CCR §15064.5) by: (1) identifying historical resources within the project area; (2) offering a preliminary significance evaluation of the identified cultural resources; (3) assessing resource vulnerability to effects that could arise from project activities; and (4) offering suggestions designed to protect resource integrity, as warranted.

Resource Definitions

Historical resources are classified by the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) as sites, buildings, structures, objects, and districts, and each is described by OHP (1995) as follows.

Site. A site is the location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself possesses historic, cultural, or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structure.

Building. A building, such as a house, barn, church, hotel, or similar construction, is created principally to shelter any form of human activity. “Building” may also be used to refer to a historically and functionally related unit, such as a courthouse and jail, or a house and barn.

Structure. The term “structure” is used to distinguish from buildings those functional constructions made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter.

Object. The term “object” is used to distinguish from buildings and structures those constructions that are primarily artistic in nature or are relatively small in scale and simply constructed. Although it may be, by nature or design, movable, an object is associated with a specific setting or environment.

District. A district possesses a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

Significance Criteria

When a project might impact a cultural resource, the project proponent is required to conduct an assessment to determine whether the impact may be one that is significant. Consequently, it is necessary to determine the importance of resources that could be impacted. The importance of a resource is measured in terms of criteria for inclusion on the California Register. A resource may be important if it meets any one of the criteria, or if it is already listed on the California Register or a local register (Title 14 CCR, §4852).

An important resource is one which:

1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history, or the cultural heritage of California or the United States.
2. Is associated with the lives of persons important to local, California, or national history.

3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region or method of construction, or represents the work of a master or possesses high artistic values.
4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important to the prehistory or history of the local area, California, or the nation.

In addition to meeting one or more of the above criteria, eligibility for the California Register requires that a resource retains sufficient integrity to convey a sense of its significance or importance. Seven elements are considered key in considering a property's integrity: location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

The OHP advocates that all resources over 45 years old be recorded for inclusion in the OHP filing system (OHP 1995:2), although the use of professional judgment is urged in determining whether a resource warrants documentation.

PROJECT SETTING

Study Area Location and Description

The study area is located within the Livermore Valley, approximately 2.15 miles west of downtown Livermore, in Alameda County, as shown on the Livermore 7.5' USGS topographic map (Figure 2). This part of Alameda County, between Livermore to the east and Pleasanton to the west, has largely been used for gravel mining, contains the Livermore Municipal Airport, and more recently has seen the construction of large industrial/commercial buildings. Figure 3 provides a current overview of the study area.

The parcel on which the proposed industrial building development is proposed is on APN 904-0010-002-02. The proposed trail connections run along and across different portions of Isabel Avenue and would connect the parcel to the Arroyo Bike Trail.

The study area consists of approximately 40 acres situated on generally level land with a percent slope of 0-1%. The closest water source is the Arroyo Mocho, which abuts the southern portion of the study area.

The geology of the study area consists of alluvium that dates to the Holocene Epoch (11,700 years ago to the present) (Dibblee 2006).

Soils within the study area belong to the Yolo and Livermore series, with a small portion of the southernmost trail segment being Riverwash (Welch *et al.* 1961: Sheet 16). Yolo soils consist of well-draining, moderately deep to very deep, loamy soils. In a natural state, these soils support the growth of grasses and oaks. Historically, parcels containing Yolo soils were used for irrigated pasture, alfalfa, and row crops (Welch *et al.* 1961:30). Livermore soils consist of somewhat excessively draining, very deep gravelly soils. In a natural state, these soils support the growth of grasses and oaks. Historically, Livermore soils were used for wine grapes, dry-farmed grain, and grain hay (Welch *et al.* 1961:19-20).




Figure 2. Study area location (adapted from the 1980 Livermore 7.5' USGS topographic map).



Figure 3. Overview photo of the study area, facing west.

Cultural Setting

Prehistory

The concept of prehistory refers to the period of time before events were recorded in writing and vary worldwide. Because there is no written record, our understanding of California prehistory relies on archaeological materials and oral histories passed down through generations. Early archaeological research in this area began with the work of Max Uhle and Nels Nelson. Uhle is credited with the first scientific excavation in California with his work at the Emeryville Shellmound in 1902, and Nelson spent several years (1906 to 1908) surveying the San Francisco Bay margins and California coast for archaeological sites (Nelson 1909). In the 1930s, archaeologists from Sacramento Junior College and the University of California began piecing together a sequence of cultures primarily based on burial patterns and ornamental artifact from sites in the lower Sacramento Valley (Lillard *et al.* 1939; Heizer and Fenenga 1939). Their cultural sequence became known as the Central California Taxonomic System (CCTS), which identified three culture periods termed the Early, Middle, and Late Horizons, but without offering date ranges. Refinement of the CCTS became a chief concern of archaeologists as the century progressed with publications by Richard Beardsley (1948, 1954) and Clement Meighan (1955) based on materials excavated by the University of California archaeological survey.

In 1973, David Fredrickson synthesized prior work, and in combination with his own research, he developed a regional chronology that is used to this day, albeit modified for locality-specific circumstances. Fredrickson's scheme shows that native peoples have occupied the region for over 11,000 years (which is supported by Erlandson *et al.* 2007), and during that time, shifts took place in their social, political, and ideological regimes (Fredrickson 1973). While Fredrickson's chronology was adopted by many archaeologists, Beardsley's cultural sequence was adopted by others creating a roughly North Bay-South Bay division in usage.

In 1960, the first study of obsidian hydration as a dating tool for archaeologists was published (Friedman and Smith 1960). This study showed that the chemical composition of the obsidian and temperature affect the hydration process. It was not until the 1980s that research into this dating method was conducted for the North Bay Area which has four major obsidian sources. In 1987, Thomas Origer devised a hydration chronology for the North Bay Area (Origer 1987). This chronology was developed by pairing micron readings taken from obsidian specimens and pairing them with radiocarbon-dated artifacts and features. Origer was able to develop a hydration rate for Annadel and Napa Valley obsidian sources as a result of his study. Later, Tremaine (1989, 1993) was able to develop comparison constants among the four primary obsidian sources in the North Bay Area. The concept of comparison constants allows for the calculation of dates from hydration band measurements taken from obsidian specimens from sources with unknown hydration rates.

The development of obsidian hydration rates for the four, primary north Bay Area obsidian sources have provided archaeologists the ability to obtain dates from sites that could not previously be dated due to lack of diagnostic artifacts or organic material suitable for radiocarbon dating. Origer was able to support and refine Fredrickson's chronology dating tools diagnostic of certain periods (Origer 1987).

In an effort to bridge the differences between chronologies, Milliken *et al.* (2007: Figure 8.4) presented a concordance for comparing time periods, cultural patterns, and local variations for the San Francisco Bay Area. Milliken included Dating Scheme D, as presented by Groza in 2002, which is a refinement of previous radiocarbon-based temporal sequences for the San Francisco Bay Area. More recently, Byrd, Whitaker, Mikkelsen, and Rosenthal (2017) called upon archaeologists to abandon previous temporal sequences in favor of Scheme D, further refined in Groza *et al.* 2011. Table 1 assimilates Scheme D, Fredrickson's (1973) chronology, and the obsidian hydration dating scheme from Origer (1987). Note that the Early, Middle, Late Horizon scheme is still evident though refinements have been made within those categories.

Early occupants appear to have had an economy based largely on hunting, with limited exchange, and social structures based on the extended family unit. Later, milling technology and an inferred acorn economy were introduced. This diversification of economy appears to be coeval with the development of sedentism and population growth and expansion. Sociopolitical complexity and status distinctions based on wealth are also observable in the archaeological record, as evidenced by an increased range and distribution of trade goods (e.g., shell beads, obsidian tool stone), which are possible indicators of both status and increasingly complex exchange systems.

These horizons or periods are marked by a transition from large projectile points and milling slabs, indicating a focus on hunting and gathering during the Early Period, to a marine focus during the Middle Period evidenced by the number of shellmounds in the Bay Area. The Middle Period also saw more reliance on acorns and the use of bowl-shaped mortars and pestles. Acorn exploitation increased during the Late Period and the bow and arrow were introduced.

Table 1. San Francisco Bay Area Chronology

Temporal Period¹	Approximate Time Range¹	~ Hydration Interval (μ)₂	Scheme D Periods³	Approximate Time Range³	~ Hydration Interval (μ)₂
Historical	< AD 1800	<1.20	Historic Mission	AD 1835 to AD 1770	1.10 - 1.27
Upper Emergent	AD 1800 to AD 1500	1.21 - 1.84	Late 2	AD 1770 to AD 1520	1.28 - 1.80
Lower Emergent	AD 1500 to AD 1000	1.85 - 2.58	Late 1b	AD 1520 to AD 1390	1.81 - 2.02
			Late 1a	AD 1390 to AD 1265	2.03 - 2.22
			Middle/Late Transition	AD 1265 to AD 1020	2.23 - 2.55
Upper Archaic	AD 1000 to 500 BC	2.59 - 4.05	Middle 4	AD 1020 to AD 750	2.56 - 2.88
			Middle 3	AD 750 to AD 585	2.89 - 3.06
			Middle 2	AD 585 to AD 420	3.07 - 3.23
			Middle 1	AD 420 to 200 BC	3.24 - 3.80
Middle Archaic	500 BC to 3000 BC	4.06 - 5.72	Early/Middle Transition	200 BC to 600 BC	3.81 - 4.13
			Early	600 BC to 2100 BC	4.14 - 5.18
Lower Archaic	3000 BC to 6000 BC	5.73 - 7.23			
Paleo-Indian	6000 BC to 8000 BC	7.24 - 8.08+			

μ = microns

¹ based on Fredrickson (1994)

² based on Napa Glass Mountain rate by Origer (1987) and Effective Hydration Temperature value from the vicinity of Santa Rosa, Sonoma County

³ based on Groza *et al.* (2011)

Prehistoric archaeological site indicators expected to be found in the region include but are not limited to: obsidian and chert flakes and chipped stone tools; grinding and mashing implements such as slabs and hand-stones, and mortars and pestles; and locally darkened midden soils containing some of the previously listed items plus fragments of bone, shellfish, and fire-affected stones.

Ethnography

Linguists and ethnographers tracing the evolution of languages have found that most of the indigenous languages of the California region belong to one of five widespread North American language groups (the Hokan and Penutian phyla, and the Uto-Aztecan, Algic, and Athabaskan language families). The distribution and internal diversity of four of these groups suggest that their original centers of dispersal were outside, or peripheral to, the core territory of California, that is, the Central Valley, the Sierra Nevada, the Coast Range from Cape Mendocino to Point Conception, and the Southern California coast and islands. Only languages of the Hokan phylum can plausibly be traced back to populations inhabiting parts of this core region during the Archaic period, and there are hints of connections between certain branches of Hokan, such as that between Salinan and Seri, that suggest that at least some of the Hokan languages could have been brought into California by later immigrants, primarily from the Southwest and northwestern Mexico (Golla 2011).

Linguistic evidence shows that between 10,000 and 4,000 years ago inhabitants in the area were Pre-Hokan speakers, and by 6,000 years ago Hokan languages had developed in the San Francisco Bay Area (Moratto 2004:551). Moratto (2004:552-557) hypothesized that about 4,000 years ago Penutian (Utian) speakers began to migrate into the area from the lower Sacramento Valley and established in the East Bay Area. He further hypothesized that Proto-Costanoan people originated in the East Bay Area, and early Costanoans spread to the peninsula by about 3,200 years ago (Moratto 2004:554).

The Ohlone/Costanoan were hunter-gatherers who lived in rich environments that allowed for dense populations with complex social structures (Kroeber 1925). They settled in large, permanent villages about which were distributed seasonal camps and task-specific sites. Permanent villages were occupied throughout the year and satellite sites were visited to procure particular resources that were especially abundant or only seasonally available. Sites often were situated near fresh water sources and in ecotones where plant life and animal life were diverse and abundant.

Between 1777 and 1797, Spanish missionaries established seven missions in Costanoan territory disrupting Costanoan lifeways and cultural identities and decimating the population. Richard Levy (1978) estimated that Costanoans numbered 10,000 in 1770 and less than 2,000 in 1832 as new diseases were introduced, leading to higher mortality rates and lower birth rates.

For more information about the Ohlone/Costanoan see Bean (1994), Margolin (1978), Milliken (1995), and Teixeira (1997).

History

Historically, the study area is within the Valle de San Jose (Suñol & Bernal) land grant, granted to Antonio María Pico and Anontio María Suñol in 1839, and patented to Antonio Suñol, Juan Bernal, and Augustin Bernal in 1863. When granted, it consisted of 48,436 acres and included the present-day cities of Livermore and Pleasanton (Cowan 1977:80; Hoover *et al.* 2002:15). Pico held a variety of military and public offices throughout his career, was later appointed as a registrar of the United States Land Office in Los Angeles in 1861 and was the grantee of Rancho Pescadero in San Joaquin County (Hoover *et al.* 2002:15). Suñol was mainly a stock raiser and trader but was also the owner of Rancho Los Coches in Santa Clara County and the co-purchaser of the San Rafael Mission with Pico in 1846

(Hoover *et al.* 2002:15). In 1878, the study area was owned by Martin Mendenhall, who was a farmer (Thompson & West 1878; United States Federal Census 1880).

Historic period site indicators generally include: fragments of glass, ceramic, and metal objects; milled and split lumber; and structure and feature remains such as building foundations and discrete trash deposits (e.g., wells, privy pits, dumps).

STUDY PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS

Native American Contact

A request was sent to the State of California's Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) seeking information from the Sacred Lands File and the names of Native American individuals and groups that would be appropriate to contact regarding this project. Letters were also sent to the following groups:

Amah Mutsun Tribal Band
Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista
The Confederated Villages of Lisjan
Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe
Guidiville Indian Rancheria
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan
Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the San Francisco Bay Area
North Valley Yokuts Tribe
The Ohlone Indian Tribe
Rumsen Am:a Tur:ataj Ohlone
Tamien Nation
Tule River Indian Tribe
Wuksache Indian Tribe/Eshom Valley Band
Wilton Rancheria

This contact does not constitute consultation with tribes and is only meant to notify them of our involvement with the project.

Native American Contact Results

The NAHC replied with a letter dated December 7, 2021, which indicated that the Sacred Lands File has no information about the presence of Native American cultural resources in the immediate project area. A list of additional contacts was provided.

Corrina Gould of the Confederated Villages of Lisjan responded to our email on December 6, 2021. Ms. Gould asked if a Sacred Lands File search had been completed at the NAHC and what the results of that search were. We informed her that a search had been completed with negative results. Ms. Gould replied on December 15, 2021, requesting a copy of our report. As we did not have permission from the project proponent, one was not provided to her from us. No additional response from Ms. Gould has been received as of the date of this report.

No other comments have been received as of the date of this report. A log of contact efforts is appended to this report, along with copies of correspondence (see Appendix A).

Archival Research Procedures

Archival research included examination of the library and project files at Tom Origer & Associates. This research is meant to assess the potential to encounter archaeological sites and built environment within the study area. Research was also completed to determine the potential for buried archaeological deposits.

A review (NWIC File No. 21-0820) was completed of the archaeological site base maps and records, survey reports, and other materials on file at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC), Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park by Eileen Barrow on November 23, 2021. Sources of information included but were not limited to the current listings of properties on the National Register of Historic Places, California Historical Landmarks, California Register of Historical Resources, and California Points of Historical Interest as listed in the OHP's *Historic Property Directory* (2012) and the *Built Environment Resources Directory* (2021).

The OHP has determined that structures in excess of 45 years of age could be important historical resources, and former building and structure locations could be important archaeological sites. Archival research included an examination of 19th and 20th-century maps and aerial photographs to gain insight into the nature and extent of historical development in the general vicinity, and especially within the study area.

Ethnographic literature that describes appropriate Native American groups, county histories, and other primary and secondary sources were reviewed. Sources reviewed are listed in the "Materials Consulted" section of this report.

A model for predicting a location's sensitivity for buried archaeological sites was formulated by Byrd *et al.* (2017) based on the age of the landform, slope, and proximity to water. A location is considered to have the highest sensitivity if the landform dates to the Holocene, has a slope of five percent or less, is within 150 meters of fresh water, and 150 meters of a confluence. Note: the Holocene Epoch is the current period of geologic time, which began about 11,700 years ago, and coincides with the emergence of human occupation of the area. A basic premise of the model is that archaeological deposits will not be buried within landforms that predate human colonization of the area. Calculating these factors using the buried site model (Byrd *et al.* 2017:Tables 11 and 12), a location's sensitivity will be scored on a scale of 1-10 and classed as follows: lowest (<1); low (1-3); moderate (3-5.5); high (5.5-7.5); highest (>7.5).

<u>Sensitivity Score</u> ¹	<u>Classification</u> ¹	<u>Probability</u> ²
<1	Lowest	<1 %
1-3	Low	1-2 %
3-5.5	Moderate	2-3%
5.5-7.5	High	3-5%
>7.5	Highest	5-20%

¹ Byrd *et al.* 2017

² King 2004

Archival Research Findings

Archival research found that the study area had been previously subjected to cultural resources studies (Table 2). These studies did not identify cultural resources within the study area. Three studies have

been conducted within a quarter-mile of the study area (Banks 1978; Holman 1983; Thomas *et al.* 2013). There are three resources within a quarter-mile of the study area (Canzonieri 2006a, 2006b; Radtkey 2017). The closest resource, P-01-001776, is the Arroyo Mocho Canal which is just outside of the study area to the south.

Table 2. Studies within the Study Area

Author	Date	S#
Basin Research Associates, Inc.	2000	24986
Holman	2002	25297
Koenig	2019	52967
Martin	2000	23152

There are no reported ethnographic sites within one mile of the study area (Kroeber 1925; Levy 1978).

A review of 19th and 20th-century maps showed no buildings within the study area (GLO 1863; Thompson 1878; USACE 1941, 1943; USGS 1906, 1953a, 1953b, 1961a, 1961b, 1968, 1973).

Based on landform age, our analysis of the environmental setting, and incorporating the Byrd *et al.* (2017) analysis of sensitivity for buried sites, there is a high potential (~7) for buried archaeological site indicators within the study area.

Field Survey Procedures

An intensive field survey was completed by a four-person crew on December 7, 2021. Six person-hours were spent in the field and field conditions were cool and partly cloudy. Surface examination consisted of walking in corridors spaced 15 meters apart and hoes were used as needed to expose the ground surface. Ground visibility ranged from excellent to poor, with vegetation being the primary hindrance.

In addition to our surface survey, an examination of the bank of the Arroyo Mocho Canal was made to look for buried archaeological site indicators. The bank ranged in height from 8 to 12 feet which provided a good profile to examine.

The proposed trail connections were added to the project after the initial completion of this cultural resources study. Because the proposed trail connections have been previously studied, and based on the level of development within those alignments, it was not necessary to conduct additional fieldwork on the trail connections.

Field Survey Findings

Archaeology

No archaeological site indicators were observed during the course of the survey.

Built Environment

No buildings or structures are present within the study area.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Analysis indicated that the study area has a high potential for buried archaeological indicators because it is on a landform that was formed during the Holocene Epoch, has level terrain, and is near a source of fresh water. However, examination of the bank of the Arroyo Mocho Canal provided an examination of subsurface soils to a depth of 8 to 12 feet, so it is our opinion that the potential for buried sites is reduced from high to moderate.

No archaeological site indicators were found and there are no buildings or structures within the study area.

Archaeological Recommendations

No recommendations are warranted.

Built Environment Recommendations

No recommendations are warranted.

Accidental Discovery

In keeping with the CEQA guidelines, if archaeological remains are uncovered, work at the place of discovery should be halted immediately until a qualified archaeologist can evaluate the finds (§15064.5 [f]). Prehistoric archaeological site indicators include: obsidian and chert flakes and chipped stone tools; grinding and mashing implements (e.g., slabs and handstones, and mortars and pestles); bedrock outcrops and boulders with mortar cups; and locally darkened midden soils. Midden soils may contain a combination of any of the previously listed items with the possible addition of bone and shell remains, and fire-affected stones. Historic period site indicators generally include: fragments of glass, ceramic, and metal objects; milled and split lumber; and structure and feature remains such as building foundations and discrete trash deposits (e.g., wells, privy pits, dumps).

The following actions are promulgated in the CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(d) and pertain to the discovery of human remains. If human remains are encountered, excavation or disturbance of the location must be halted in the vicinity of the find, and the county coroner contacted. If the coroner determines the remains are Native American, the coroner will contact the NAHC. The NAHC will identify the person or persons believed to be most likely descended from the deceased Native American. The most likely descendent makes recommendations regarding the treatment of the remains with appropriate dignity.

SUMMARY

Tom Origer & Associates completed a cultural resources study for the SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County, California. The study was requested and authorized by Michael Johnson of Overton Moore Properties. This study was conducted to meet the requirements of the City of Livermore and CEQA. No cultural resources were found within the study area; therefore, no resource-specific recommendations are warranted. Documentation pertaining to this study is on file at the offices of Tom Origer & Associates (File No. 2021-109S and 2023-006R).

MATERIALS CONSULTED

- Banks, P.
1978 *An Archaeological Investigation of the Sunol - Pleasanton - Livermore Railroad Consolidation Project*. Document S-1330 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
- Barbour, M. and J. Major, ed.
1988 *Terrestrial Vegetation of California*. California Native Plant Society.
- Barrett, S.
1908 *The Ethno-Geography of the Pomo and Neighboring Indians*. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology Vol. 6, No. 1:1-322. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Basin Research Associates, Inc.
2000 *Cultural Resources Assessment, PG&E Proposed Tri-Valley 2002 Electric Power Capacity Increase Project*. Document S-24986 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
- Bean, L. J.
1994 *The Ohlone Past and Present: Native Americans of San Francisco Bay Region*. Series ed. Sylvia Brakke Vane, Ballena Press Anthropological Papers no. 42: Menlo Park.
- Beardsley, R.
1948 Culture Sequences in Central California Archaeology. In *American Antiquity* Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 1-28.
1954 *Temporal and Areal Relationships in Central California Archaeology*. Reports of the University of California Archaeological Survey 24-25. Berkeley, California.
- Byrd, B., A. Whitaker, P. Mikkelsen, and J. Rosenthal
2017 *San Francisco Bay-Delta Region Context and Research Design for Native American Archaeological Resources Caltrans District 4*. On file at the Caltrans District 04 Office of Cultural Resource Studies, Oakland, California.
- Byrd, B., A. Whitaker, P. Mikkelsen, J. Rosenthal, J. Meyer, and P. Kaijankoski
2017 *Discovering Sites: Geoarchaeological Approaches to Site Sensitivity and Predictive Modeling*. In, *San Francisco Bay-Delta Region Context and Research Design for Native American Archaeological Resources Caltrans District 4*. B. Byrd, A. Whitaker, P. Mikkelsen, and J. Rosenthal. Pp 4-1 through 4-13. On file at the Caltrans District 04 Office of Cultural Resource Studies, Oakland, California.
- Canzonieri, C.
2006a Continuation Sheet for P-01-001776. Document on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
2006b Continuation Sheet for P-01-002124 (CA-ALA-518H). Document on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

- Cowan, R.
1977 *Ranchos of California*. Historical Society of Southern California, Los Angeles.
- Department of Parks and Recreation
1976 *California Inventory of Historical Resources*. State of California, Sacramento.
- Dibblee, T.
2006 *Geologic Map of the Livermore Quadrangle, Contra Costa & Alameda Counties, California*. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History. Santa Barbara.
- Erlandson, J., T. Rick, T. Jones, and J. Porcasi
2007 One if by Land, Two if by Sea: Who Were the First Californians? In: *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*. (pp 53-62) T. Jones and K. Klar, editors. AltaMira Press. Lanham, MD.
- Fredrickson, D.
1973 *Early Cultures of the North Coast Ranges, California*. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Anthropology, University of California, Davis.

1984 The North Coastal Region. In *California Archaeology*, edited by M. Moratto. Academic Press, San Francisco.

1994 Archaeological Taxonomy in Central California Reconsidered. In *Toward a New Taxonomic Framework for Central California Archaeology*, edited by R. E. Hughes, pp. 91-103. Contributions of the University of California Archaeological Research Facility, volume 52. University of California, Berkeley.
- Friedman, I. and R. Smith
1960 A New Dating Method Using Obsidian: Part I, The Development of a Method. *American Antiquity*. 25(4): 476-522.
- General Land Office (GLO)
1863 *Plat of the Valle de San Jose (Suñol & Bernal) Land Grant*. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- Golla, V.
2011 *California Indian Languages*. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Groza, R.
2002 An AMS Chronology for Central California *Olivella* Shell Beads. Master's thesis, Department of Anthropology, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California.
- Groza, R., J. Rosenthal, J. Southon, and R. Milliken
2011 A Refined Shell Bead Chronology for Late Holocene Central California. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 31(2):13-32.
- Heizer, R. and F. Fenenga
1939 Archaeological Horizons in Central California. *American Anthropologist*, Vol. 41, pp. 378-399.

Holman, M.

1983 *A Report of Field Reconnaissance and Subsurface Testing of the Pleasanton Gravel Company Reclamation Area SMP-16, Pleasanton, Alameda County, California.* Document S-5866 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

2002 Letter report regarding an Archaeological Field Inspection of a 71 Acre Parcel Located at Isabel Avenue and the Western Pacific Railroad Tracks, Livermore, Alameda County, California. Document S-25297 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Hoover, M., H. Rensch, E. Rensch, and W. Abeloe

1966 *Historic Spots in California.* 3rd edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford.

Hoover, M., H. Rensch, E. Rensch, W. Abeloe, and D. Kyle

1990 *Historic Spots in California.* 4th edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford.

2002 *Historic Spots in California.* 5th edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford.

King, J.

2004 Archaeological Sensitivity Maps. In *Landscape Evolution and the Archaeological Record: A Geoarchaeological Study of the Southern Santa Clara Valley and Surrounding Region*, Edited by J. Rosenthal and J. Meyer. Center for Archaeological Research at Davis, University of California

Koenig, H.

2019 *Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Zone 7 Arroyo Mocho Stanley Reach Stabilization Project.* Document S-52967 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Kroeber, A.

1925 *Handbook of the Indians of California.* Bureau of American Ethnology, Bulletin 78, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Levy, R.

1978 Costanoan. In *California*, edited by R. Heizer, pp. 485-495. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8, W. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Lillard, J., R. Heizer, and F. Fenenga

1939 *An Introduction to the Archaeology of Central California.* Sacramento Junior College Department of Anthropology, Bulletin 2, pp. 93, figs. 20 and map, pls. 31).

Margolin, M.

1978 *The Ohlone Way.* Heyday Books, Berkeley, California.

Martin, T.

2000 *A Cultural Resources Study for the Oaks Business Park Project, Livermore, Alameda County, California.* Document S-23152 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

- Meighan, C.
1955 *Archaeology of the North Coast Ranges, California*. Reports of the University of California Archaeological Survey No. 30. University of California, Berkeley.
- Meyer, J. and J. Rosenthal
2007 *Geoarchaeological Overview of the Nine Bay Area Counties in Caltrans District 4*. Document S-33600 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
- Milliken, R.
1995 *A Time of Little Choice: The Disintegration of Tribal Culture in the San Francisco Bay Area 1769-1810*. Ballena Press Anthropological Papers No. 43
- Milliken, R., R. Fitzgerald, M. Hylkema, R. Groza, T. Origer, D. Bieling, A. Leventhal, R. Wiberg, A. Gottsfield, D. Gillette, V. Bellifemine, E. Strother, R. Cartier, and D. Fredrickson
2007 Punctuated Cultural Change in the San Francisco Bay Area. In *California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity*, edited by Terry L. Jones and Kathryn Klar, pp. 99-124. Altamira Press, Walnut Creek, California.
- Moratto, M.
1984 *California Archaeology*. Academic Press, San Francisco.
2004 *California Archaeology*. 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Francisco.
- Munz, P. and D. Keck
1973 *A California Flora and Supplement*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Nelson, N.
1909 *Shellmounds of the San Francisco Bay Region*. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 7(4). Berkeley.
- Office of Historic Preservation (OHP)
1995 *Instructions for Recording Historical Resources*. California Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.
2012 *Historic Property Directory*. Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.
2021 *Built Environment Resources Directory*. California Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.
- Origer, T.
1987 *Temporal Control in the Southern North Coast Ranges of California: The Application of Obsidian Hydration Analysis*. Papers in Northern California Anthropology, Number 1, Berkeley.
- Radtkey, N.
2017 Continuation Sheet for P-01-001783 (CA-ALA-623H). Document on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.
- Teixeira, L.
1997 *The Costanoan/Ohlone Indians of the San Francisco and Monterey Bay Area: A Research Guide*. Ballena Press: Menlo Park.

Thomas, J., J. Meyer, and N. Scher

2013 *Cultural Resources Study of the PG&E Line 131 ILI Investigation Digs Project, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California*. Document S-42083 on file at the Northwest Information Center, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park.

Thompson & West

1878 *Historical Atlas of Alameda County*. Oakland, Cal. 1976 edition, Valley Publishers, Fresno, California.

Tremaine, K.

1989 *Obsidian as a Time Keeper: An Investigation in Absolute and Relative Dating*. Master's Thesis, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, California.

1993 Temporal Ordering of Artifact Obsidians: Relative Dating Enhanced Through the Use of Accelerated Hydration Experiments, in *There Grows a Green Tree*, edited by Greg White, Pat Mikkelsen, William R. Hildebrandt, and Mark E. Basgall, pp. 265-275. University of California Center for Archaeological Research at Davis Publication No. 11, Davis, California.

United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

1941 Pleasanton, California 15' map. Engineer Reproduction Plant, Washington, D.C.

1943 Pleasanton, California 15' map. Engineer Reproduction Plant, Washington, D.C.

United States Bureau of the Census

1880 Alameda County, California. Manuscript census, population schedule.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1906 Pleasanton, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1953a Livermore, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1953b Livermore, California 7.5' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1961a Livermore, California 15' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1961b Livermore, California 7.5' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1968 Livermore, California 7.5' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

1973 Livermore, California 7.5' map. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.

Welch, L., R. Huff, R. Dierking, T. Cook, L. Bates, and W. Andrews

1961 *Soil Survey of the Alameda Area, California*. U.S. Department of Agriculture in cooperation with the University of California Agricultural Experiment Station.

APPENDIX A

Native American Contact

Copies of Correspondence

**Native American Contact Efforts
SMP 40 Project
Livermore, Alameda County**

Organization	Contact	Action	Results
Native American Heritage Commission		Email 11/18/21	The NAHC replied with a letter dated December 7, 2021, which indicated that the Sacred Lands File has no information about the presence of Native American cultural resources in the immediate project area. A list of additional contacts was provided.
Amah Mutsun Tribal Band	Valentin Lopez	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista	Irene Zwierlein	Email 12/7/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
The Confederated Villages of Lisjan	Corrina Gould	Email 11/19/21	Corrina Gould of the Confederated Villages of Lisjan responded to our email on December 6, 2021. Ms. Gould asked if a Sacred Lands File search had been completed at the NAHC and what the results of that search were. We informed her that a search had been completed with negative results. Ms. Gould replied on December 15, 2021, requesting a copy of our report. As we did not have permission from the project proponent, one was not provided to her from us. No additional response from Ms. Gould has been received as of the date of this report.
Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe	Tony Cerda	Email 12/7/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Guidiville Indian Rancheria	Donald Duncan	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Indian Canyon Mustun Band of Costanoan	Ann Marie Sayers Kanyon Sayers-Roods	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.

**Native American Contact Efforts
SMP 40 Project
Livermore, Alameda County**

Organization	Contact	Action	Results
Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the San Francisco Bay Area	Monica Arellano	Letter 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
North Valley Yokuts Tribe	Katherine Perez Timothy Perez	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
The Ohlone Indian Tribe	Andrew Galvan	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Rumsen Am:a Tur:ataj Ohlone	Dee Dee Ybarra	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Tamien Nation	Quirina Luna Geary Johnathan Wasaka Costilla	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Tule River Indian Tribe	Neil Peyron	Email 12/7/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Wuksache Indian Tribe/Eshom Valley Band	Kenneth Woodrow	Email 11/19/21	No response received as of the date of this report.
Wilton Rancheria	Dahlton Brown Steven Hutchason Jesus Tarango	Email 12/7/21	No response received as of the date of this report.

Sacred Lands File & Native American Contacts List Request

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

1550 Harbor Blvd., Suite 100
West Sacramento, CA 95691
(916) 373-3710
(916) 373-5471 – Fax
nahc@nahc.ca.gov

Information Below is Required for a Sacred Lands File Search

Project: SMP 40
County: Alameda

USGS Quadrangles

Name: Livermore

Township T3S Range R1E Section(s) MDBM (within the Valle de San Jose (Sunol & Bernal) land grant)

Date: November 18, 2021

Company/Firm/Agency: Tom Origer & Associates

Contact Person: Eileen Barrow

Address: P.O. Box 1531

City: Rohnert Park

Zip: 94927

Phone: (707) 584-8200

Fax: (707) 584-8300

Email: eileen@origer.com

Project Description: The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking.

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

December 7, 2021

Eileen Barrow
Tom Origer & Assoc.

Submitted via Electronic Mail
Via Email to: Eileen@origer.com

Re: SMP 40, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Barrow:

A record search of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File (SLF) was completed for the information you have submitted for the above referenced project. The results were negative. However, the absence of specific site information in the SLF does not indicate the absence of cultural resources in any project area. Other sources of cultural resources should also be contacted for information regarding known and recorded sites.

Attached is a list of Native American tribes who may also have knowledge of cultural resources in the project area. This list should provide a starting place in locating areas of potential adverse impact within the proposed project area. I suggest you contact all of those indicated; if they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge. By contacting all those listed, your organization will be better able to respond to claims of failure to consult with the appropriate tribe. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call or email to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from tribes, please notify me. With your assistance, we can assure that our lists contain current information.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at my email address: katy.sanchez@nahc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Katy Sanchez
Associate Environmental Planner

Attachment



CHAIRPERSON
Laura Miranda
Luiseno

VICE CHAIRPERSON
Reginald Pagaling
Chumash

PARLIAMENTARIAN
Russell Attebery
Karuk

COMMISSIONER
William Mungary
Paiute/White Mountain Apache

COMMISSIONER
Isaac Bojorquez
Ohlone-Costanoano

COMMISSIONER
Sara Dutschke
Miwok

COMMISSIONER
Buffy McQuillen
Yokayo Pomo, Yuki, Nomlaki

COMMISSIONER
Wayne Nelson
Luiseno

COMMISSIONER
Stanley Rodriguez
Kumeyaay

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
Christina Snider
Pomo

NAHC HEADQUARTERS
1550 Harbor Boulevard
Suite 100
West Sacramento,
California 95691
(916) 373-3710
nahc@nahc.ca.gov
NAHC.ca.gov

**Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contacts List
December 07, 2021**

Amah Mutsun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista Irene Zwierlein, Chairperson 3030 Soda Bay Road Lakeport CA 95453 amahmutsuntribal@gmail.com (650) 851-7489 Cell (650) 332-1526 Fax	Ohlone/Costanoan	Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the SF Bay Area Monica Arellano, Vice Chairwoman 20885 Redwood Road, Suite 232 Castro Valley CA 94546 marellano@muwekma.org (408) 205-9714	Ohlone / Costanoan
Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe Tony Cerda, Chairman 244 E. 1st Street Pomona CA 91766 rumsen@aol.com (909) 629-6081 (909) 524-8041 Fax	Ohlone/Costanoan	North Valley Yokuts Tribe Katherine Erolinda Perez, Chairperson P.O. Box 717 Linden CA 95236 canutes@verizon.net (209) 887-3415	Ohlone/Costanoan Northern Valley Yokuts Bay Miwok
Guidiville Indian Rancheria Donald Duncan, Chairperson P.O. Box 339 Talmage CA 95481 admin@guidiville.net (707) 462-3682 (707) 462-9183 Fax	Pomo	North Valley Yokuts Tribe Timothy Perez P.O. Box 717 Linden CA 95236 huskanam@gmail.com (209) 662-2788	Ohlone/Costanoan Northern Valley Yokuts Bay Miwok
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan Kanyon Sayers-Roods 1615 Pearson Court San Jose CA 95122 408-673-0626	Ohlone/Costanoan	Rumsen Am:a Tur:ataj Ohlone Dee Dee Manzanares Ybarra, Chairperson 14671 Farmington Street Herperia CA 92345 rumsenama@gmail.com (760) 403-1756	Ohlone/Costanoan
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan Ann Marie Sayers, Chairperson P.O. Box 28 Hollister CA 95024 ams@indiancanyons.org (831) 637-4238	Ohlone/Costanoan	Tamien Nation Quirina Luna Geary, Chairperson P.O. Box 8053 San Jose CA 95155 qgeary@tamien.org (707) 295-4011	Ohlone/Costanoan

**Native American Heritage Commission
Native American Contacts List
December 07, 2021**

<p>Tamien Nation Johnathan Wasaka Costilla, THPO P.O. Box 866 Clearlake Oaks ,CA 95423 thpo@tamien.org (925) 336-5359</p>	<p>Ohlone/Costanoan</p>	<p>Wilton Rancheria Dahlton Brown, Director of Administration 9728 Kent Street Elk Grove ,CA 95624 dbrown@wiltonrancheria-nsn.gov (916) 683-6000 Office (916) 683-6015 Fax</p>	<p>Miwok</p>
<p>The Confederated Villages of Lisjan Corrina Gould, Chairperson 10926 Edes Avenue Oakland ,CA 94603 cvltribe@gmail.com (510) 575-8408</p>	<p>Ohlone/Costanoan</p>	<p>Wilton Rancheria Steven Hutchason, THPO 9728 Kent Street Elk Grove ,CA 95624 shutchason@wiltonrancheria-nsn.gov (916) 683-6000 Ext. 2006 (916) 683-6015 Fax</p>	<p>Miwok</p>
<p>The Ohlone Indian Tribe Andrew Galvan P.O. Box 3388 Fremont ,CA 94539 chochenyo@AOL.com (510) 882-0527 Cell (510) 687-9393 Fax</p>	<p>Ohlone Bay Miwok Plains Miwok Patwin</p>	<p>Wuksache Indian Tribe/Eshom Valley Band Kenneth Woodrow, Chairperson 1179 Rock Haven Ct. Salinas ,CA 93906 kwood8934@aol.com (831) 443-9702</p>	<p>Foothill Yokuts Mono Wuksache</p>
<p>Tule River Indian Tribe Neil Peyron, Chairperson P.O. Box 589 Porterville ,CA 93258 neil.peyron@tulerivertribe-nsn.gov (559) 781-4271 (559) 781-4610 Fax</p>	<p>Yokuts</p>		
<p>Wilton Rancheria Jesus G. Tarango Jr., Chairperson 9728 Kent Street Elk Grove ,CA 95624 jtarango@wiltonrancheria-nsn.gov (916)683-6000 Office (916) 683-6015 Fax</p>	<p>Miwok</p>		

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Irene Zwierlein
Amah Mustun Tribal Band of Mission San Juan Bautista
3030 Soda Bay Road
Lakeport, CA 95453

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Zwierlein:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Valentin Lopez
Amah Mutsun Tribal Band
P.O. Box 5272
Galt, CA 95632

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Lopez:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Corrina Gould
The Confederated Villages of Lisjan
10926 Edes Avenue
Oakland, CA 94603

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Gould:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Tony Cerda
Costanoan Rumsen Carmel Tribe
244 1st Street
Pomona, CA 91766

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Cerda:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Donald Duncan
Guidiville Indian Rancheria
P.O. Box 339
Talmage, CA 95481

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Duncan:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Ann Marie Sayers
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan
P.O. Box 28
Hollister, CA 95024

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Sayers:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Kanyon Sayers-Roods
Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Costanoan
1615 Pearson Court
San Jose, CA 95122

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Sayers-Roods:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Monica Arellano
Muwekma Ohlone Indian Tribe of the San Francisco Bay Area
20885 Redwood Road, Suite 232
Castro Valley, CA 94546

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Arellano:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Katherine Perez
North Valley Yokuts Tribe
P.O. Box 717
Linden, CA 95236

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Perez:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Timothy Perez
North Valley Yokuts Tribe
P.O. Box 717
Linden, CA 95236

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Perez:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Andrew Galvan
The Ohlone Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 3388
Fremont, CA 94539

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Galvan:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Dee Dee Ybarra
Rumsen Am:a Tur:ataj Ohlone
14671 Farmington Street
Hesperia, CA 92345

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Ybarra:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Johnathan Wasaka Costilla
Tamien Nation
P.O. Box 866
Clearlake Oaks, CA 95423

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Wasaka Costilla:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Quirina Luna Geary
Tamien Nation
P.O. Box 8053
San Jose, CA 95155

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Ms. Geary:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Neil Peyron
Tule River Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 589
Porterville, CA 93258

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Peyron:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Dahlton Brown
Wilton Rancheria
9728 Kent Street
Elk Grove, CA 95624

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Brown:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Steven Hutchason
Wilton Rancheria
9728 Kent Street
Elk Grove, CA 95624

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Hutchason:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

December 7, 2021

Jesus Tarango
Wilton Rancheria
9728 Kent Street
Elk Grove, CA 95624

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Tarango:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.

This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Eileen Barrow
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

Archaeology / Historical Research

November 18, 2021

Kenneth Woodrow
Wuksache Indian Tribe/Eshom Valley Band
1179 Rock Haven Court
Salinas, CA 93906

RE: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Mr. Woodrow:

I am writing to notify you of a proposed project within the County of Alameda, for which our firm is conducting a cultural resources study. The project proponent is proposing to develop a portion of an approximately 40-acre parcel into two industrial buildings and related infrastructure and parking. The City of Livermore is ensuring that this project meets with California Environmental Quality Act compliance.


This letter serves as notification of our study and does not constitute consultation.

Enclosed is a portion of the Livermore, Calif. 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle showing the project location.

Sincerely,



Taylor Alshuth
Associate



Eileen Barrow

From: Corrina Gould <cvltribe@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2021 9:43 AM
To: Eileen
Subject: Re: FW: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Dear Eileen,

Could you please send the report that you created from the proposed project area?

'Uni (Respectfully),

Corrina Gould, Tribal Chair
Confederated Villages of Lisjan Tribe

On Fri, Dec 10, 2021 at 1:49 PM Eileen <eileen@origer.com> wrote:

Hello Ms. Gould,

We have received a response from the Native American Heritage Commission for this project and their search of the Sacred Lands File was negative. We also completed a survey since we wrote you and we did not find anything during our survey.

Eileen

Eileen Barrow

Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

P.O. Box 1531

Rohnert Park, CA 94927

Phone (707) 584-8200

Fax (707) 584-8300

From: Corrina Gould <cvltribe@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 8:19 PM
To: taylor@origer.com
Subject: Re: SMP 40 Project, Livermore, Alameda County

Hello,

Thank you for your email. Can you please send additional information regarding the site? Has there been a Sacred Lands File search at the Native American Heritage Commission with Negative or Positive findings? Once this information is available, we can move forward with possible consultation.

'Uni (Respectfully),

Corrina Gould, Tribal Chair

Confederated Villages of Lisjan Tribe

On Thu, Nov 18, 2021 at 1:41 PM <taylor@origer.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Gould:

Attached please find our notification letter and location map for the SMP 40 project in Livermore, Alameda County. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments about the project.

Sincerely,

Taylor Alshuth

Mr. Taylor Alshuth, Associate

Tom Origer & Associates

P.O. Box 1531

Rohnert Park, CA 94927

Taylor@origer.com

Office Phone (707) 584-8200